arXiv:hep-ph/0308310v1 29 Aug 2003

Ground State Baryons in Covariant Level-Classification Scheme

Muneyuki ISHIDA[1] and Shin ISHIDA[2]

[1] *Department of Physics, Meisei University, Hino 191-8506, Japan*
[2]*Research Institute of Quantum Science, College of Science and Technology*
Nihon University, Tokyo 101-0062, Japan

In the covariant level-classification scheme of hadrons based on $\tilde{U}(12)_{SF} \bigotimes O(3,1)_L$ symmetry, the ground state baryons and antibaryons are assigned to the $(\mathbf{12} \times 12 \times 12)_{\rm Sym} = \mathbf{364}$-multiplet in the spin-flavor $\tilde{U}(12)_{SF}$ symmetry. This multiplet includes, in addition to the ordinary **56**-multiplet of the static $SU(6)_{SF}$, the extra chiral $\mathbf{56'}$ and **7**0-multiplets, called "chiralons," which are expected to exist with masses in $1 \sim 2$ GeV region. Their electro-magnetic properties, magnetic moments and radiative decay amplitudes, are investigated. A longstanding puzzle, that the predicted value of the width $\Gamma(\Delta \to N\gamma)$ by the conventional treatment is inconsistently small with the experimental one, may be solved by the mixing effect of **56**-states with the chiral $\mathbf{56'}$-states.

§1. Introduction

In the covariant level classification scheme of hadrons presented by one of the authors S. I.(, referred to as II $^{1)}$), the level spectra of hadrons including light quarks in lower mass region are classified as the representations of $\tilde{U}(12)_{SF} \bigotimes O(3,1)_L$ group $^{2)}$, which is a covariant generalization of $SU(6)_{SF} \bigotimes O(3)_L$ in the non-relativisitic quark model (NRQM). The light quark baryons and anti-baryons in the ground state are assigned as the $(\mathbf{12} \times \mathbf{12} \times \mathbf{12})_{\rm Sym} = \mathbf{364}$-multiplet in the spin-flavor $\tilde{U}(12)_{SF}$ group. This multiplet includes, in addition to the ordinary **56** of the static $SU(6)_{SF}$, the extra $\mathbf{56'}$ and **7**0, which are predicted to exist with masses in $1 \sim 2$ GeV region and are out of the conventional framework. The essential reason for obtaining the extra multiplets is the inclusion of ρ-spin degree of freedom for the constituent quarks in addition to the ordinary Pauli- (σ-) spin, which correspond to the $\rho \bigotimes \sigma$ decomposition of Dirac γ-matrices. The ρ-spin freedom is necessary for covariant description of composite hadrons. The wave functions (WFs) of non-relativistic **56** states correspond to the boosted Pauli-spinors, the multi-Dirac spinors consisting of Dirac spinors with positive ρ_3 spin. On the other hand the WFs of the extra $\mathbf{56'}$ and **7**0 states include the multi-Dirac spinors consisting of negative ρ_3-spin Dirac spinors, which is related to the ones with the positive ρ_3-component through chiral transformation. These extra states are called chiral states ("chiralons"). $^{3)}$

In this talk we investigate the electromagnetic properties of ground state baryons, their magnetic moments and radiative decays. For this purpose, at first, we construct their covariant spinor-flavor WFs.

§2. Flavor-Spinor WF of Ground State Baryons

2.1. *Spinor WF and chiral states*

In order to make clear the physical background for the chiral states we describe the spinor WF of the ground baryons, neglecting the internal space-time variables. First we define the Dirac spinor for quarks W_q as BW spinors with single index by

$$\text{Dirac spinor} \quad \psi_{q,\alpha}(X) = \sum_{\mathbf{P},r} [e^{iPX} W_{q,\alpha}^{(+)}(P) + e^{-iPX} W_{q,\alpha}^{(-)}(P)]$$
$$W_{q,\alpha}^{(+)}(P) = u_\alpha(P), \quad W_{q,\alpha}^{(-)}(P) = u_\alpha(-P). \qquad (2{\cdot}1)$$

They take the following form at the hadron rest frame as

$$W_{q,r}^{(+)}(\boldsymbol{P}=\mathbf{0}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \chi_r \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \quad \rho_3 = + \ , \qquad W_{q,r}^{(-)}(\boldsymbol{P}=\mathbf{0}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \chi_r \end{array}\right) \quad \rho_3 = - \ . \qquad (2{\cdot}2)$$

It is to be noted that all Dirac spinors with positive and negative values of ρ_3 spin for quarks are required as members of complete set of expansion bases inside of hadrons. The spinor WF for ground states of baryons are given by tri-Dirac spinors as BW spinors with three indices as

$$\text{Baryon spinor} \qquad \varPhi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(B)}(P) \ = \ W_{q,\alpha}(P) \ W_{q,\beta}(P) \ W_{q,\gamma}(P)$$
$$\varPhi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\boldsymbol{P}=\mathbf{0}); \ \ (\rho_3^{(1)}, \rho_3^{(2)}, \rho_3^{(3)}) = \ (\ +, \ +, \ + \ ): \ \text{boosted Pauli states} \qquad (2{\cdot}3)$$
$$(\ +, \ +, \ - \ ), \ (\ +, \ -, \ - \ ): \ \text{“Chiral States”}$$

The WF with $(\rho_3^{(1)}, \rho_3^{(2)}, \rho_3^{(3)}) = (+,+,+)$ are the multi-boosted Pauli spinors, which reduce to the multi-NR Pauli spinors at the rest frame, while the WF with the other values of $(\rho_3^{(1)}, \rho_3^{(2)}, \rho_3^{(3)})$ describe the chiral states, which newly appear in the covariant classification scheme.

In our scheme hadrons are generally classified as the members of multiplet in the $\tilde{U}_{SF}(12) \times O(3,1)$ scheme. The light-quark ground state baryons are assigned to the representations $(\underline{\mathbf{12}} \times \underline{\mathbf{12}} \times \underline{\mathbf{12}})_{\rm Symm} = \underline{\mathbf{364}}$ of the $\tilde{U}(12)_{SF}$ symmetry. (See, Table I.) The numbers of freedom of spin-flavor WF in NRQM are $(\mathbf{6} \times \mathbf{6} \times \mathbf{6})_{\rm Symm.} = \underline{\mathbf{56}}$ for baryons and $\underline{\mathbf{56}}^*$ for antibaryons: These numbers in COQM are extended to $\underline{\mathbf{364}} = \underline{\mathbf{182}}$ (for baryons) $+\underline{\mathbf{182}}$ (for anti-baryons).

Baryons: $(\mathbf{12} \times \mathbf{12} \times \mathbf{12})_{Sym} = \mathbf{364} = \mathbf{182}_B + \mathbf{182}_{\bar{B}}$

182	**56**	$\varDelta_{3/2}^{\oplus}$	$N_{1/2}^{\oplus}$	
	70	$\varDelta_{1/2}^{\oplus(\ominus)}$	$N_{3/2}^{\oplus(\ominus)}$	$N_{1/2}^{\oplus(\ominus)}$
	56′	$\varDelta_{3/2}^{\ominus(\oplus)}$	$N_{1/2}^{\ominus(\oplus)}$	

Chiral States

Table I. Quantum numbers of ground-state baryon multiplet in $\tilde{U}(12)_{SF}$ symmetry

2.2. *Flavor-Spin Decomposition of WF*

The total baryon WF should be full-symmetric (except for the color freedom) under exchange of constituent quarks: The WF is denoted as Φ_{ABC}, where $A = (\alpha, a)$ etc. and α (a) represents spinor (flavor) index. It is obtained as a product of the sub-space ρ, σ and F(flavor) WF with respective symmetric properties: $\Phi_{ABC} = \langle_{ABC}|F\rho\sigma\rangle$. There are three ways to decompose the total symmetric WF, $|F_1F_2\rangle_S$, into the sub-space WF, $|F_1\rangle$ and $|F_2\rangle$:

$$|F_1F_2\rangle_S = |F_1\rangle_S|F_2\rangle_S \ , \quad |F_1\rangle_A|F_2\rangle_A \ , \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|F_1\rangle_\alpha|F_2\rangle_\alpha + |F_1\rangle_\beta|F_2\rangle_\beta) \ , \qquad (2\cdot4)$$

where $|\ \rangle_S$, $|\ \rangle_{\alpha(\beta)}$ and $|\ \rangle_A$ represent the full-symmetric, $\alpha(\beta)$-type partial symmetric and full anti-symmetric subspace WF, respectively. Results are given in Table II.

The $|\sigma\rangle_S$ ($|\sigma\rangle_{\alpha,\beta}$) corresponds to the total spin $\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2})$ WF. The $|F\rangle_S$ ($|F\rangle_{\alpha,\beta}$) corresponds to the Δ-decouplet (N-octet). The $|F\sigma\rangle_S$ ($|F\sigma\rangle_{\alpha,\beta}$) corresponds to the **56** (**70**) representation of static $SU(6)_{SF}$. The intrinsic parity is defined by $\hat{P} = \Pi_{i=1}^{3}\gamma_4^{(i)} = \Pi_{i=1}^{3}\rho_3^{(i)}$. $|\rho, \frac{3}{2}\rangle(= |+++\rangle)$ and $|\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle(\simeq |+--\rangle)$ have positive parity, while $|\rho, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle(= |---\rangle)$ and $|\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle(\simeq |++-\rangle))$ have negative one.

	positive-parity WF $\lvert F\rho\sigma^{\oplus}\rangle$	negative-parity WF $\lvert F\rho\sigma^{\ominus}\rangle$
E : **56**	$\lvert\rho, \frac{3}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\sigma\rangle_S$	$\lvert\rho, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\sigma\rangle_S$
$\Delta_{3/2}$	$= \lvert\rho, \frac{3}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\rangle_S\lvert\sigma\rangle_S$	$= \lvert\rho, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\rangle_S\lvert\sigma\rangle_S$
$N_{1/2}$	$\lvert\rho, \frac{3}{2}\rangle_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert F\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert F\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$	$\lvert\rho, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert F\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert F\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$
F : $\mathbf{56'}$	$\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\sigma\rangle_S$	$\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\sigma\rangle_S$
$\Delta'_{3/2}$	$= \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\rangle_S\lvert\sigma\rangle_S$	$= \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_S\lvert F\rangle_S\lvert\sigma\rangle_S$
$N'_{1/2}$	$\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert F\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert F\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$	$\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert F\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert F\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$
G : **70**	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert F\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert F\sigma\rangle_\beta)$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert F\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert F\sigma\rangle_\beta)$
$\Delta_{1/2}$	$= \lvert F\rangle_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$	$= -\lvert F\rangle_S\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$
$N_{3/2}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert F\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert F\rangle_\beta)\lvert\sigma\rangle_S$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert F\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert F\rangle_\beta)\lvert\sigma\rangle_S$
$N_{1/2}$	$\frac{1}{2}\big[\lvert F\rangle_\alpha(-\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$	$-\frac{1}{2}\big[\lvert F\rangle_\alpha(-\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha + \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta)$
	$+\lvert F\rangle_\beta(\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta + \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha)\big]$	$+\lvert F\rangle_\beta(\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta + \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha)\big]$
$\Lambda_{1/2}$	$-\lvert F\rangle_A\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta + \lvert\rho, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha)$	$\lvert F\rangle_A\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\alpha\lvert\sigma\rangle_\beta + \lvert\rho, \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\beta\lvert\sigma\rangle_\alpha)$

Table II. Flavor-spinor WF of ground-state qqq ${}_{12}H_3 = \mathbf{364}$-multiplet of $\tilde{U}(12)_{\mathrm{SF}}$ symmetry.

As shown in Table II $\mathbf{364}/2 = \mathbf{182}$ representation is decomposed, in static $SU(6)_{SF}$, into $\mathbf{56} + \mathbf{56'} + \mathbf{70}$ representation.

The ρ-spin WF of **56** $E^{\oplus}$, $|\rho, \frac{3}{2}\rangle_S$, consists of only positive-ρ_3 consitituent quark spinor, and thus, it is related directly with non-relativisitic two-component Pauli-spin WF in NRQM. The $E^{\ominus}$ is its creation part. It is remarkable that there appear the extra $\mathbf{56'}$ F and **70** G multiplets in the ground states.

The experimentally observed **56**-state (including $N(939)$ octet and $\Delta(1232)$ decouplet) is considered to be described by a superposition of non-relativisitc $E^{\oplus}$-WF and relativisitic $F^{\oplus}$ WF. We expect the existence of extra **56** and **70** states (chiral states), which have the WF orthogonal to the one of ordinary **56**. Among the experimentally observed baryons, $N^{\oplus}(1440)$, $\Delta^{\oplus}(1600)$ and $\Lambda^{\ominus}(1405)$, which have inconsistently light masses with the predictions by NRQM, are candidates for chiralons.

2.3. *WF in general frame and its conjugate*

The WF in general frame are obtained by boosting the WF at rest frame, given in Table II, with the velocity $v_\mu \equiv P_\mu/M$. For single quark,

$$W(\boldsymbol{P}) = B(\boldsymbol{v})W(\boldsymbol{0}), \quad \bar{W}(\boldsymbol{P})(\equiv W(\boldsymbol{P})^\dagger \gamma_4) = \bar{W}(\boldsymbol{0})\bar{B}(\boldsymbol{v})$$
$$B(\boldsymbol{v}) = ch\theta + \rho_1\sigma_z sh\theta, \quad \bar{B}(\boldsymbol{v}) \equiv \gamma_4 B(\boldsymbol{v})^\dagger \gamma_4 = ch\theta - \rho_1\sigma_z sh\theta \quad (\text{for } \boldsymbol{v} \propto \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}),$$
$$ch\theta = \sqrt{\frac{\omega+1}{2}}, \; sh\theta = \sqrt{\frac{\omega-1}{2}}; \quad \omega = \frac{E}{M}, \frac{|\boldsymbol{P}|}{M} = 2ch\theta sh\theta \; . \qquad (2\cdot5)$$

In the non-relativistic limit $|\boldsymbol{v}| \rightarrow 0$, the $ch\theta = 1$ and $sh\theta = 0$. The $sh\theta$ represents the relativistic recoil effect.

The baryon WF with momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$, $\varPhi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\boldsymbol{P})$, is obtained by operating the booster $B^{(i)}$ for respective constituents of $\varPhi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\boldsymbol{0})$, as

$$\varPhi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\boldsymbol{P}) = B_\alpha^{(1)\alpha'}(\boldsymbol{v})B_\beta^{(2)\beta'}(\boldsymbol{v})B_\gamma^{(3)\gamma'}(\boldsymbol{v})\varPhi_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}(\boldsymbol{0}). \qquad (2\cdot6)$$

In calculating the transition matrix elements of radiative decays, the Pauli-conjugate $\bar{\varPhi}(\equiv \varPhi^\dagger\gamma_4^{(1)}\gamma_4^{(2)}\gamma_4^{(3)})$ is necessary to be revised, in order to give correct charge for the WF with negative ρ_3-spin, into "unitary conjugate" $\bar{\varPhi}_U$ defined by

$$\bar{\varPhi}_U(\boldsymbol{v}) \equiv \bar{\varPhi}(\boldsymbol{v})(-iv\cdot\gamma^{(1)})(-iv\cdot\gamma^{(2)})(-iv\cdot\gamma^{(3)}) \; . \qquad (2\cdot7)$$
$$\bar{\varPhi}_U(\boldsymbol{v}) = \varPhi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{v})\varPi_{i=1}^3\bar{B}^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{v})$$

$\bar{\varPhi}_U(\boldsymbol{v})$ coincides with $\varPhi^\dagger$ at the rest frame $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0}$. Because of $-iv\cdot\gamma^{(i)}$, $\bar{\varPhi}_U(\boldsymbol{v})\varPhi(\boldsymbol{0})$ is invariant under chiral transformation $\varPhi \rightarrow \varPi_{i=1}^3 e^{i\alpha\gamma_5^{(i)}}\varPhi$

It should be noted that the above WF $\varPhi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\boldsymbol{v})$ are equivalent to those[*] of manifestly covariant form given in ref.4).

§3. Electro-magnetic Property

3.1. *Form of electromagnetic interaction*

The electro-magnetic interaction of the constituent quark is given, in momentum representation, by

$$j_{1\mu}^{EM}(P',P)A_\mu(q) = eQ^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{2M}(P_\mu + P'_\mu) + \frac{g_M}{2m_1}i\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}q_\nu\right)A_\mu(q) \qquad (3\cdot1)$$

where $j_{1\mu}^{EM} = j_{1\mu}^{con} + j_{1\mu}^{spin}$. The first (second) term of Eq. (3·1) comes from the convection (spin) current $j_{1\mu}^{con}$ ($j_{1\mu}^{spin}$). The photon A_μ is supposed to couple to the first quark denoted by index (1). By using $i\sigma_{ij}q_jA_i(q) = \sigma_kH_k(q)$ and $i\sigma_{4i}q_iA_4(q) + i\sigma_{i4}q_4A_i(q) = -i\rho_1\sigma_iE_i(q)$, the second term is rewritten by

$$j_{1\mu}^{spin}A_\mu(q) = \mu^{(1)}(\sigma_kH_k(q) - i\rho_1^{(1)}\sigma_k^{(1)}E_k(q)), \quad \mu^{(1)} \equiv eQ^{(1)}\frac{g_M}{2m_1}, \qquad (3\cdot2)$$

---

[*] The WFs are given in the form $\varPhi_{ABC} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}D_{\alpha\beta\gamma;abc} + V_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\epsilon_{abc} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\left(N_{\alpha[\beta\gamma],a}{}^d\epsilon_{dbc} + perm\right)$, where the decouplet WF $D_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{abc}$ (the singlet WF $\epsilon_{abc}V_{[\alpha\beta\gamma]}$) has completely symmetric (anti-symmetric) indices $\alpha\beta\gamma$ and abc including $\varDelta_{3/2}^E$, $\varDelta_{3/2}^{\prime F}$ and $\varDelta_{1/2}^{\prime G}$. While $\epsilon_{abd}N_{[\alpha\beta]\gamma,\,c}{}^d$ has partially antisymmetric indices $\alpha\beta$ and ab including $N_{1/2}^E$, $N_{1/2}^{\prime F}$ and $N_{1/2,3/2}^G$.

where the $\sigma_k H_k$ term is the ordinary magnetic interaction appearing in NRQM, while the second term comes from the relativistic effect, where the ρ and σ spins couple to the electric field E_k directly. It may be called "intrinsic electric dipole" interaction. Our effective current Eq. (3·1) is conserved in the symmetric limit ($M = M'$; $M(M')$ being the initial(final) meson mass in the relevant case of the transitions between ground state baryons).

3.2. *Magnetic moment*

By using Eq. (3·1) and the WF in table II, we can calculate the magnetic moments (*m.m.*),

$$m.m. = \langle F\rho\sigma | \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu^{(i)} \sigma_z^{(i)} | F\rho\sigma \rangle = \langle F\rho\sigma | 3\mu^{(1)} \sigma_z^{(1)} | F\rho\sigma \rangle, \tag{3·3}$$

at the rest frame, supposing the baryon being polarized along z-direction. The factor 3 comes from the full-symmetry of WF. The results are given in Tables III (for octets), IV (for decouplets) and V (for singlet).

	$\bar{p}p$	$\bar{n}n$	$\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$	$\bar{\Lambda}\Sigma^0$	$\bar{\Sigma}^+\Sigma^+$	$\bar{\Sigma}^-\Sigma^-$	$\bar{\Sigma}^0\Sigma^0$	$\bar{\Xi}^-\Xi^-$	$\bar{\Xi}^0\Xi^0$
$\vert N_E^{\mathbf{56}}\rangle$	$\frac{4\mu_u-\mu_d}{3}$	$\frac{-\mu_u+4\mu_d}{3}$	μ_s	$\frac{\mu_u-\mu_d}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{4\mu_u-\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{4\mu_d-\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{2\mu_u+2\mu_d-\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{-\mu_d+4\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{-\mu_u+4\mu_s}{3}$
Theor.	$\underline{2.793}$	-1.862	$\underline{-0.613}$	1.61	2.69	-1.04	0.825	-0.507	-1.44
Exp.	2.793	-1.913	-0.613(4)	1.61(8)	2.46(1)	-1.16(3)		-0.651(3)	-1.25(1)
$\vert N_F^{\mathbf{56}'}\rangle$	$\frac{4\mu_u-\mu_d}{3}$	$\frac{-\mu_u+4\mu_d}{3}$	μ_s	$\frac{\mu_u-\mu_d}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{4\mu_u-\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{4\mu_d-\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{2\mu_u+2\mu_d-\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{-\mu_d+4\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{-\mu_u+4\mu_s}{3}$
Theor.	2.793	-1.862	-0.613	1.61	2.69	-1.04	0.825	-0.507	-1.44
$\vert N_G^{\mathbf{70}}\rangle$	$\frac{2\mu_u+\mu_d}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+2\mu_d}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s}{3}$	0	$\frac{2\mu_u+\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{2\mu_d+\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_d+2\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+2\mu_s}{3}$
Theor.	0.931	0	0.106	0	1.037	-0.825	0.106	-0.719	0.212
$\vert N_{\frac{3}{2}G}^{\mathbf{70}}\rangle$	$2\mu_u+\mu_d$	$\mu_u+2\mu_d$	$\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s$	0	$2\mu_u+\mu_s$	$2\mu_d+\mu_s$	$\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s$	$\mu_d+2\mu_s$	$\mu_u+2\mu_s$
Theor.	2.793	0	0.318	0	3.11	-2.48	0.318	-2.16	0.636

Table III. Magnetic moment of nucleon octets: Unit is the nuclear magneton $\mu_N = \frac{e}{2m_N}$. $\mu_d = -\mu_u/2$ is assumed. The values with underlines, which are used as inputs, give $\mu_u = 1.862\mu_N$ and $\mu_s = -0.613\mu_N$.

	$\bar{\Delta}^{++}\Delta^{++}$	$\bar{\Delta}^{+}\Delta^{+}$	$\bar{\Delta}^{0}\Delta^{0}$	$\bar{\Delta}^{-}\Delta^{-}$	$\bar{\Sigma}^+\Sigma^+$	$\bar{\Sigma}^-\Sigma^-$	$\bar{\Sigma}^0\Sigma^0$	$\bar{\Xi}^-\Xi^-$	$\bar{\Xi}^0\Xi^0$	$\bar{\Omega}^-\Omega^-$
$\vert \Delta_{\frac{3}{2}E}^{\mathbf{56}}\rangle$	$3\mu_u$	$2\mu_u+\mu_d$	$\mu_u+2\mu_d$	$3\mu_d$	$2\mu_u+\mu_s$	$2\mu_d+\mu_s$	$\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s$	$\mu_d+2\mu_s$	$\mu_u+2\mu_s$	$3\mu_s$
Theor.	5.59	2.79	0	-2.79	3.11	-2.48	0.318	-2.16	0.636	-1.84
Exp.	$3.7 \sim 7.5$									
$\vert \Delta_{\frac{3}{2}F}^{\mathbf{56}'}\rangle$	$3\mu_u$	$2\mu_u+\mu_d$	$\mu_u+2\mu_d$	$3\mu_d$	$2\mu_u+\mu_s$	$2\mu_d+\mu_s$	$\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s$	$\mu_d+2\mu_s$	$\mu_u+2\mu_s$	$3\mu_s$
Theor.	5.59	2.79	0	-2.79	3.11	-2.48	0.318	-2.16	0.636	-1.84
$\vert \Delta_{\frac{1}{2}G}^{\mathbf{70}}\rangle$	μ_u	$\frac{2\mu_u+\mu_d}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+2\mu_d}{3}$	μ_d	$\frac{2\mu_u+\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{2\mu_d+\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_d+2\mu_s}{3}$	$\frac{\mu_u+2\mu_s}{3}$	μ_s
Theor.	1.86	0.931	0	-0.931	1.037	-0.825	0.106	-0.719	0.212	-0.613

Table IV. Magnetic moment of Δ decouplets: Unit is the nuclear magneton μ_N.

Here the *m.m.* of $N(939)$ and $\Lambda(1116)$ are used as inputs, to determine $\mu_u = 1.862\mu_N$ and $\mu_s = -0.613\mu_N$ ($\mu_N = e/(2m_N)$ and $\mu_d = -\mu_u/2$ is assumed). These values correspond to $g_M/m_u = 1/0.336\text{GeV}$ and $g_M/m_s = 1/0.510\text{GeV}$.

	$\bar{\Lambda}^{-}\Lambda^{-}$
$\lvert\Lambda^{\mathbf{70}}_{\frac{1}{2}G}\rangle$	$\frac{\mu_u+\mu_d+\mu_s}{3}$
Theor.	0.106

Table V. Magnetic moment of Λ singlet

The $m.m.$ of baryons in **56** thus calculated are of the same values as the predictions by NRQM. The values of the extra **56′**-multiplet is also the same. The values for **70**-multiplet are given in the tables, too.

3.3. *Radiative Decays*

By taking the overlapping of $j^{EM}_{1\mu}(P',P)A_\mu(q)$ of Eq. (3·1), between the initial and final WFs, $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, we obtain the helicity amplitudes,

$$A_{j_z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|\boldsymbol{q}|}}\bar{\Phi}_U(\boldsymbol{v}, j_z-1)3j^{EM}_{1\mu}(\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{0})\tilde{\varepsilon}^{(-1)}_{\mu}(q)\Phi(\boldsymbol{0}, j_z), \tag{3·4}$$

where we take the rest frame of initial baryon, and the photon is emitted to $-\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ direction with momentum $\boldsymbol{q}$. Its helicity is taken to be -1. Correspondingly the baryon spin changes from j_z to j_z-1. The $A_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ appear for the initial baryons with spin 3/2, while does only $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the spin 1/2 baryons. ($A_{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $A_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are obtained from $A_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively, by operating Parity and π-rotation around y-axis.)

The decay widths of the baryon with spin 3/2 and 1/2, denoted respectively as $\Gamma_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\Gamma_{\frac{1}{2}}$, are given, in terms of A_{j_z}, by

$$\Gamma_{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{|\boldsymbol{q}|^2}{2\pi}\frac{M}{M_R}\left[|A_{\frac{3}{2}}|^2+|A_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2\right], \quad \Gamma_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{|\boldsymbol{q}|^2}{\pi}\frac{M}{M_R}\left[\quad |A_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 \quad\right], \tag{3·5}$$

where $M_R(M)$ is the mass of initial(final) baryon.

Here we focus our interests on the decays of $\Delta^{\oplus}(1232)$ and the candidates of chiralons, $\Delta^{\oplus}(1600)$, $N^{\oplus}(1440)$ and $\Lambda^{\oplus}(1600)$, considering the possible mixing of their WFs defined in Table VI.

	$\lvert\ F\ \rho\ \sigma\ \rangle$
$\lvert N(939), \Lambda(1116), \Sigma(1192)\rangle$	$c_1\lvert N(F^{\oplus})\rangle - s_1\lvert N(E^{\oplus})\rangle$
$\lvert N(1440)\rangle$	$\left(-s"_1\lvert N(F^{\oplus})\rangle - c"_1\lvert N(E^{\oplus})\right) c_2 + s_2\lvert N(G^{\oplus})\rangle$
$\lvert \Delta(1232)\rangle$	$c_1'\lvert \Delta(F^{\oplus})\rangle - s_1'\lvert \Delta(E^{\oplus})\rangle$
$\lvert \Delta(1600)\rangle$	$-s_1'\lvert \Delta(F^{\oplus})\rangle - c_1'\lvert \Delta(E^{\oplus})\rangle$
$\lvert \Lambda(1405)\rangle$	$\left[\left(-s"_1\lvert N(F^{\ominus})\rangle - c"_1\lvert N(E^{\ominus})\right)(-s_2) + c_2\lvert N(G^{\ominus})\right] s_3 + c_3\lvert \Lambda(G^{\ominus})\rangle$

Table VI. Form of WF of the relevant baryons: c_1 (s_1) etc. are abbreviations of $cos\theta_1$ ($sin\theta_1$), and thus, $c_1^2+s_1^2=1$.

In order to keep the successful values of $m.m.$ in NRQM, we consider only the mixing between $\mathbf{56}_E$ and $\mathbf{56}_F$ for $N(939)$-octet, neglecting the mixing with $\mathbf{70}_G$. For $N(1440)$ the mixing with $\mathbf{70}_G$ is also considered. For $\Lambda(1405)$ we generally consider the mixing among four Λs, 3 octet Λ (in **56**, **56′** and **70**) and one singlet (in **70**). The radiative decay amplitudes obtained by using these WFs are given in Table VII.

Process	λ	A_λ (in unit of $\frac{3eg_M}{2m_u}\sqrt{\lvert\boldsymbol{q}\rvert}$)
$\Delta(1232) \to N\gamma$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}}\left[(s_1's_1 + c_1'c_1)(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta) + (-\frac{4}{3}c_1'c_1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(c_1's_1 + s_1'c_1))ch^2\theta sh\theta\right]$
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}(s_1's_1 + c_1'c_1)(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta)$
$\Delta(1600) \to N\gamma$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}}\left[(c_1's_1 - s_1'c_1)(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta) + (\frac{4}{3}s_1'c_1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(c_1'c_1 - s_1's_1))ch^2\theta sh\theta\right]$
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}(c_1's_1 - s_1'c_1)(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta)$
$p(1440) \to p\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}(c''_1 s_1 - s''_1 c_1)c_2(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\left(-s_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}c_1\right) s_2 ch^2\theta sh\theta$
$n(1440) \to n\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{2}{9}(c''_1 s_1 - s''_1 c_1)c_2(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta) - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\left(-s_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}c_1\right) s_2 ch^2\theta sh\theta$
$\Lambda(1405) \to \Sigma^0\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{18}\Big[2(-c''_1 c_1 + s''_1 s_1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}s''_1 c_1)(-s_2)s_3(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta)$ $+\left(-s_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}c_1\right)\left\{c_2 s_3(ch^3\theta - ch^2\theta sh\theta) - 3c_3(ch^3\theta + 2ch^2\theta sh\theta)\right\}\Big]$
$\Lambda(1405) \to \Lambda\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{18\sqrt{3}}\Big[-\frac{2m_u}{m_s}(-c''_1 c_1 + s''_1 s_1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}s''_1 c_1)(-s_2)s_3(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta)$ $+\left(-s_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}c_1\right)\Big\{c_2 s_3\left((1 - \frac{2m_u}{m_s})ch^3\theta + \sqrt{2}ch^2\theta sh\theta\right)$ $-c_3\left(1 + \frac{2m_u}{m_s}\right)(ch^3\theta + 2ch^2\theta sh\theta)\Big\}\Big]$

Table VII. Formula of helicity amplitudes in unit of $\frac{3eg_M}{2m_u}\sqrt{\lvert\boldsymbol{q}\rvert}$.

In the ideal case, case A, the WFs, corresponding to $c_1 = c_1' = c''_1$ and $s_1 = s_1' = s''_1$, are orthogonal to each other. In case B considering the possible mixing effects with radially excited states, we relax these relations.

($\Delta(1232) \to N\gamma$ *and* $\Delta(1600) \to N\gamma$) There is a longstanding problem in the radiative decay $\Delta(1232) \to N\gamma$. The decay width predicted by NRQM is small, and inconsistent with the experimental data.

In case A, $A_{\frac{3}{2}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}}[(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta(1 - \frac{4}{3}c_1^2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}c_1 s_1)]$ and $A_{\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}(ch^3\theta + ch^2\theta sh\theta)$ (in unit of $\frac{3eg_M}{2m_u}\sqrt{\lvert\boldsymbol{q}\rvert}$). In non-relativistic limit, $ch\theta = 1$ and $sh\theta = 0$, and the helicity amplitudes take simple forms, $A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{NR} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}}$ and $A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{NR} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}$. In the actual case of $\Delta(1232) \to N(939)\gamma$, $sh\theta = 0.1366$, which represents the strength of relativistic recoil effect. In $A_{\frac{3}{2}}$ the second term proportional to $ch^2\theta sh\theta$ is dependent upon the mixing coefficients c_1 and s_1. The factor $(1 - \frac{4}{3}c_1^2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}c_1 s_1)$ can take the value from -1 to $\frac{5}{3}$, corresponding to $(c_1, s_1) = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ and $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$, respectively. In the latter case, the numerical values of A_j are improved as

$$A_{\frac{3}{2}}^{NR} = -0.187 \to A_{\frac{3}{2}} = -0.236, \quad A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{NR} = -0.108 \to A_{\frac{1}{2}} = -0.126 \qquad (3\cdot6)$$

which are almost consistent with experimental data shown in Table VIII. Correspondingly the decay width is improved as $\Gamma^{NR} = 379\text{keV} \to \Gamma_{theor} = 581\text{keV}$, which is almost consistent with experimental value $\Gamma_{exp} = 624 \sim 720\text{keV}$. By changing the mixing angle, Γ_{theor} can take the value from 353keV to 581keV. Thus, the problem of the $\Delta \to N\gamma$ width may be solved by considering the relativistic effect. It is notable that, in case of maximum Γ_{theor} with $\frac{4}{3}s_1 c_1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(c_1^2 - s_1^2) = 0$, the $\Delta(1600)$ decay amplitudes vanishes consistently with experiment. (In case B we show the result obtained by using $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $\Delta(1600)$ decay as input, which gives $(c_1's_1 - s_1'c_1) = 0.11 \pm 0.09$.)

($N(1440) \to N\gamma$) As shown in Table VII the effect of $\mathbf{70}_G$-mixing represented by s_2 gives the ratio $n\gamma/p\gamma = -1$, which seems to be inconsistent with the experimental value $\sim -\frac{2}{3}$. So we take $s_2 = 0$. In case A, $c"_1 s_1 - s"_1 c_1 = 0$, and thus, both $A_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ vanish, being inconsistent with experimental data. In case B we take the value $c"_1 s_1 - s"_1 c_1 = -0.1728$, which reproduces the data well.

($\Lambda(1405) \to \Lambda\gamma,\ \Sigma^0\gamma$) In case A of maximum $\Delta \to N\gamma$, $(-c_1^2 + s_1^2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} s_1 c_1) = 0$, and thus, the effect on $A_{\frac{1}{2}}$ from the mixing with $\mathbf{56}_E$ and $\mathbf{56}_F$ vanishes. So, we consider only the mixing between octet $\Lambda_{8,G}$ and singlet $\Lambda_{1,G}$ in $\mathbf{70}_G$, taking $c_2 = 1$. In case of $\Lambda(1405)$ being purely $\Lambda_{1,G}$ ($c_3 = 1$), $(\Gamma_{\Lambda\gamma}, \Gamma_{\Sigma^0\gamma}) = (98, 195)$keV, while in case of purely $\Lambda_{8,G}$ ($s_3 = 1$), (0.3,17)keV. Both cases are inconsistent with the experiment. By taking $(c_3, s_3) = (0.478, 0.878)$, the experimental $\Gamma_{\Lambda\gamma}$ is reproduced, and in this case $\Gamma_{\Sigma^0\gamma}$ is predicted with 15.9keV, which seems to be consistent with the present experimental values.

Process	λ	$A^{\rm theor}_{\lambda,\ case\ A}$	$A^{\rm theor}_{\lambda,\ case\ B}$	$A^{\rm exp}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{GeV}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$	$\Gamma^{tot}_{\rm caseA}$	$\Gamma^{tot}_{\rm caseB}$	$\Gamma^{tot}_{\rm exp}$(keV)
$\Delta(1232) \to N\gamma$	$\frac{3}{2}$	-0.236	> -0.236	-0.255±0.008	581	< 581	624∼720
	$\frac{1}{2}$	-0.126	> -0.126	-0.135±0.006			
$\Delta(1600) \to N\gamma$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	-0.040±0.035	-0.009±0.021	0	1∼192	3.5∼70
	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\underline{-0.023 \pm 0.020}$	-0.023±0.020			
$p(1440) \to p\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\underline{-0.065}$	-0.065±0.004	0	$\underline{150}$	150±18
$n(1440) \to n\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0.044	0.040±0.010	0	69	57±28
$\Lambda(1405) \to \Lambda\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\underline{-0.040}$	able	0.040±0.005	$\underline{27}$	able	27±8
$\Lambda(1405) \to \Sigma^0\gamma$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-0.039	to fit	0.031±0.005 0.047±0.006	16	to fit	10±4 23±7

Table VIII. Predicted values of helicity amplitudes (in unit of $\mathrm{GeV}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$) in comparison with experiments. Decay widths are also given (in unit of keV).

§4. Concluding Remarks

We have investigated the electromagnetic properties of ground state baryons in the covariant level-classification scheme. The longstanding puzzle on reproducing the large experimental $\Delta(1232) \to N\gamma$ amplitudes is solved by considering the mixing with relativisitic $\mathbf{56}'_F$ states. This mixing explains simultaneously the vanishing $\Delta(1600)$ decay amplitudes. This fact strongly supports the validity of our covariant level classification scheme. This scheme predicts the existence of many other chiral states not describable in NRQM. The search for them is urgently required.

References

1) S. Ishida, talk at this symposium.
2) S. Ishida and M. Ishida, Phys. Lett. B**539** (2002) 249.
3) S. Ishida, M. Ishida and T. Maeda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **104** (2000), 785. S. Ishida, in proceedings of "σ-Meson 2000", KEK-proceedings 2000-4; NUP-B-2000-1; Soryusiron kenkyu **102** (2001) No.5. S. Ishida and M. Ishida, in proc. of Hadron2001 in protvino, Russia, August, 2001; hep-ph/0110359.
4) A. Salam, R. Delbourgo and J. Strathdee, Proc. R. Soc. London **A284** (1965) 146.
B. Sakita and K. C. Wali, Phys. Rev. **B139** (1965) 1335.